



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington D.C. 20201
www.uspto.gov

TO TAITS IN LAST		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/706,301	FILING DATE	Koichi Saito	207198	6724
	11/03/2000			
23460 7590 01/25/2002 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			EXAMINER	
			EWOLDT. GERALD R	
180 NORTH S	STETSON AVENUE 60601-6780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	2 00001 0.01		1644	8
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/706,301

Applicant(s)

Saito et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

G. R. Ewoldt

Art Unit 1644



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____1 ___ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 3, 2000 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-15 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) X Claims 1-15 **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) 🔀 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) \boxtimes All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20) Other: 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Serial No. 09/706,301 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- This application contains inventions drawn to patentably distinct species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a specific emulsifier and a specific oil component, and list all Claims readable thereon including those subsequently added. Currently Claims 1-4 are generic.
- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The different emulsifiers and oil components comprise different chemical and immunological properties. Said emulsifiers and oil components could thus elicit different responses. Therefore, the species are independent and patentable over one another.

- Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 December 26, 2001

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600